DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 074 608

24

EA 004 966

AUTHOR

Mellor, Warren

TITLE

Nongraded Schools. Educational Management Review

Series Number 16.

INSTITUTION

Oregon Univ., Eugene. ERIC Clearinghouse on.

Educational Management.

SPONS AGENCY

National Inst. of Education (DHEW), Washington,

D.C.

BUREAU. NO

BR-8-0353 Apr 73

PUB DATE CONTRACT

OEC-0-8-080353-3514

NOTE

8p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

Case Studies; *Literature Reviews; *Nongraded

Classes; Nongraded Primary System; *Nongraded System;

Ungraded Classes; Ungraded Curriculum; Ungraded Elementary Programs; *Ungraded Primary Programs;

Ungraded Programs: *Ungraded Schools

ABSTRACT

Procedures that have been developed for switching from a graded to a nongraded pattern of school organization have frequently failed to live up to their expectations. There appears to be a need for more clearly defined procedures and purposeful innovation as distinct from change for its own sake. Nongrading should serve to provide alternative learning environments for the student rather than to facilitate the roles of the teacher and the administrator. The literature in this review challenges each school to implement the basic concept by devising those methods particularly suited to its situation. Guides to the implementation process emphasize the central role of administrative leadership. Several case studies show how schools have worked with the challenge of nongrading. (Author)

MANAGEMEN

that are contemporary and sensitive to education's changing information requirements. Most of the reports cited topics in educational management designed to provide the practicing educator the reviews have been processed by this and other clearinghouses OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDU

Number 16

Nongraded Schools

S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

Warren Mellor

There is little doubt in my mind that the climb toward non-grading our schools is stalled on a plateau of inadequate understanding. At least part of the problem stems from inadequate and incomplete conceptualizations. Not enough attention has been given to spelling out how the components of schooling are affected when the values basic to nongrading are applied. ...

Our failure to produce nongraded schools does not result from not trying. It would perhaps be more accurate to say that the efforts have been misguided or even not guided. Somewhere between the presently developed, limited conceptualization of nongrading and detailed instructions on how to implement nongrading lies the fruitful area of developing alternative models,

Goodlad in Purdom (1970)

Adequate provision for individual pupil differences is at the heart of the nongraded school concept. To date, however, plans that have been developed for moving from a graded to a nongraded pattern have not always lived up to their promises. Nongraded organization varies widely, and the indiscriminate use of related terms such as continuous progress plans, ungraded schools, and multigraded organizations has done little to clarify the situation.

Current writers express a growing disillusionment with the persistence of traditional grading practices in nongraded schools. There is a need for clearly defined procedures and purposeful innovation as distinct from change for its own sake. Nongrading should serve to provide alternative learning environments for the student rather than to facilitate the roles of the teacher and the administrator. The literature in this review challenges each school to implement the basic concept by devising those methods particularly suited to its situation. Guides to the implementation process emphasize the central role of administrative leadership. Several case studies show how schools have worked with the challenge of nongrading. The indefinite and often conflicting results obtained when comparing graded and nongraded programs point up the large number of components involved in nongrading and are due in some measure to the lack of precise models.

Of the documents reviewed, sixteen are available from the ERIC Document Reproduction Service. Complete instructions for ordering are given at the end of the review.

CONVERSION TO NONGRADED ORGANIZATION

Most schools today lie somewhere along the graded-nongraded continuum (Tewksbury 1967). In a fully graded plan all children in a given grade are expected to do the same work in a year's time. In a nongraded program the child works in each subject at the level for which he is ready. Three possible ways of implementing a nongraded program are suggested:

- provide multilevel instruction in a self-contained, heterogeneous classroom
- assign children to self-contained classes according to performance levels
- regroup a large aggregation of children from time to time to form classes that work at different levels under different teachers

Graves (1967) presents guidelines for adopting a nongraded organization in elementary schools. He feels there are four major areas requiring concentrated attention: committing faculty and staff to the plan, grouping students, working with parents, and organizing the plan. Material includes sample records, progress checklists, letters to parents, and a bibliography.

The Education Opinion Inventory (Mc-Loughlin n.d.) aims to point out those areas likely to be inoperative in a nongraded instructional program. The premise is that efficient identification of such areas may enable educators to institute procedures to rectify the situation and increase the chances of successful nongraded organization. The inventory is therefore constructed to measure teachers' and principals' knowledge and acceptance of the theoretical foundations of the nongraded school. One hundred and four items relate to the areas of individual differences, pupil evaluation and progress, curriculum, instruction, and organization for learning. Each item requires two answers, one on knowledge about the concept and the other on acceptance of it.

The Catholic Diocese of Pittsburgh (1970) provides administrators and teachers with a step-by-step guide to the diocesan nongraded program, embodying information on parent-teacher conferences, testing, grouping, and the program mechanics and philosophy. Mathematics and reading skills for different levels are listed, and materials for the basic and supplementary programs are provided.

The results of an evaluation survey by the same diocese (1971) indicate that administrators most frequently mentioned problems in the areas of communication. and reporting pupil progress. The most conspicuous needs were more frequent and more effective explanations of the school program. Teachers made numerous requests for help in grouping techniques, scheduling, and reporting pupil progress; many asked for guidance in establishing a continuum of skills in subject areas other than reading and mathematics. A large number of students reacted favorably to small-group work and independent activities. The majority of the responding parents indicated that their children had evidenced greater interest in school work since the initiation of the continuous progress program.

Smith (1968) discusses practical issues in the implementation of nongraded elementary programs. He details procedures related to staff preparation, curriculum reorganization, grouping, use of teacher aides, and team teaching. In other chapters he examines the role of special teachers, the library and materials center, scheduling of specialists, pupil evaluation, and a general evaluation of the nongraded program.

A monograph by Purdom (1970) reveals the extent to which the concept of non-grading has been twisted to ecommodate grading practices. In a sense school administrators have sought to innovate without causing fundamental changes in the existing patterns. Having spelled out his conceptual model for nongraded organization in eleven propositions, Purdom recommends that organization should emphasize provision of alternative learning environments. Suggested procedures include

- manipulation of the composition of the peer group
- varying instructional modes, such as teacher-direction, technology-direction, or independent study

• exploitation of a variety of teaching styles, for example, by using team teaching

In regard to curriculum and instruction, Purdom feels that learning materials should follow an approach based on the structures of the disciplines, include programmed materials, and be located in a resource area equipped with a good record system. Evaluative devices and instruments to be self-administered by the student should be developed.

Twenty articles reprinted from The National Elementary Principal (National Association of Elementary School Principals 1968) offer an overview of definitions, history, and research on nongraded systems, and guidelines for planning, establishing, and maintaining a nongraded school. Written largely by professors and principals, the articles cover many topics, including curriculum, preservice and inservice education of principals and teachers, and description of a program in which reading level is the criterion for classroom assignment.

Specific models and guidelines of practical assistance to elementary and secondary administrators appear in a book edited by Kuzsman and Maelsaac (1970). One chapter deals with the problems teachers face in preparing to implement continuous progress and describes a strategy for introduction. Several chapters prepared by elementary teachers and administrators examine the process of transforming an elementary school from a graded to a nongraded basis. The chapters relating to secondary education describe strategies or attempts to develop curricula in the basic disciplines.

A handbook by the same editors (Kuzsman and MacIsaac 1969) serves as a resource book to familiarize teachers with

the basics of nongrading. Chapters deal with the theory of nongrading, as well as the role of the teacher, scheduling, grouping, and pupil evaluation. Detailed examination of the development of a sequential curriculum reveals implications for the language arts, mathematics, and social studies programs. A report on visits to five nongraded schools shows some of the processes of implementation and the variety of practices that may be employed. The document also has an extensive bibliography.

In 1970 the Institute for Development of Educational Activities sponsored a national seminar to examine the status of the nongraded school in the United States and to demonstrate how nongrading and continuous progress work in actual practice, Speakers at the seminar stressed the importance of carefully defining what a nongraded school should be and of using that definition to assess attempts to establish nongraded schools.

To identify and locate the latest and most significant resource materials on innovative program. Stevens (1972) gathered a comprehensive listing of four thousand sources of information. Many of these concern the implementation of nongraded and continuous progress learning.

NONGRADED EXPERIMENTS

McCarthy (1967) details the application of nongraded principles to a middle school in Liverpool, New York. Multiage groupings of students for each subject recognized individual qualities and capabilities, while organizational and instructional changes involved curriculum reform, flexible scheduling, and team teaching. The author refers to difficulties such as frictions within teams, subject-dominated outlooks, and unwilling-

ness to regroup students. Inadequate evaluation machinery for the innovation was also an underlying problem. Nonetheless, these problems and difficulties were being solved, and progress with the nongraded middleschool concept indicates its viability.

An evaluation of the Amherst, Massachusetts, nongraded secondary schools assesses the degree to which they achieved program objectives (Frederickson and others 1968). The objectives emphasized the needs and abilities of the individual student, with progression rates commensurate with ability. In addition, the nongraded curriculum was to offer independent study and to recognize the value of experiences outside formal study. The program was designed to give the student more responsibility in directing his program of study, to encourage selfmotivation, and to provide a meaningful appraisal of the student's achievement. Evaluation data reveal that a father's socioeconomic status greatly influences a student's curriculum placement and that more direction is needed in helping a student choose his program of study.

A high school in rural Alaska established a schedule and curriculum that provided both students and teachers with an active voice in determining their educational experiences (Dillingham City School District 1971). The result was a series of over two hundred minicourses offered nongraded through grades seven and nine and scheduled on a modular, trimester basis. Reactions of students, teachers, and outside evaluators able- to the new. appear generally t schedule and curricu. Sample schedules, course offerings and descriptions, and student and teacher questionnaires are appended, as well as an evaluation report and observations by the superintendent.

Eight school systems in the metropolitan

Atlanta area (Henson 1972) cooperatively produced a nongraded program to better meet the changing needs of their youth. Their intent was to devise a plan facilitating greater instructional flexibility and individualization, choice of a greater selection of course options, and expansion of the school year. The result is a new curriculum based on the four-quarter school-year concept. Behavioral objectives, student characteristics, and administrative requirements guide the development of course goals. The author describes the individualized, non-sequential, nongraded program and lists some of its advantages and disadvantages.

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION

Researchers examined grades one through six in a school district in Austin, Texas, designating graded classes as control groups and nongraded classes as experimental (Otto and others 1969). The major hypotheses tested were that there are important differences and similarities between nongraded and graded classes in the following six areas:

- distribution of teachers' instructional time
- the scope of instructional resources used in reading, spelling and arithmetic
- the formation, number, size and achievement range of subgroups
- pupils' use of the centralized library
- children's achievement
- children's school anxiety

Results are mixed, though it would appear, contrary to expectations, that anxiety seems to increase over the year in the nongraded program.

Brown and Theimer (1968) examine an evaluation of xeading and arithmetic performance levéls in a nongraded elementary school and in a graded control school. Results indicate that the nongraded school showed greater total school achievement. Within year six, students in the nongraded program achieved more than their counterparts. In year four, however, the aboveaverage pupils in the nongraded school achieved less than the average students in the graded school. A nongraded program, therefore, might not be advantageous at all elementary school levels but does appear to be suitable for above-average students in the upper elementary years.

Yogel and Bowers' (1969) report on a study testing the validity of the argument that nongraded organization is superior in developing pupil classroom behaviors, attitudes, and achievement. For analysis, nongraded and graded K-6 pupils were divided into normal age, underage, and overage groups.

Results demonstrate that the nongraded school encourages development of conceptual maturity-and-participation in group activities. Graded organization, however, seems to encourage pupil development in achievement, attitude toward school, and contribution during teaching episodes. Overage pupils in nongraded schools seem to be more contributing members of their classes than their counterparts in graded schools.

In his discussion of a two-year evaluation of nongraded primary schools in New York, McLoughlin (1969) finds that correlations of variables between graded and nongraded classes are insignificant. Results are based not only on analysis of relative pupil progress but also on a very comprehensive list of variables including school organization, beliefs and performances of teachers and

principals, grade placement influences, and demographic and community characteristics.

He concludes that neither school organization nor certain beliefs and performances of educators are significant factors in explaining the differences between graded and nongraded schools. Rather, he stresses that greater involvement of students in monitoring and guiding their own development would characterize a truly nongraded class.

REFERENCES

Abstracts of the following documents can be located in Research in Education. The complete texts are available from the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS), commercial channels, or both. Publications can be ordered in either Xerox copy form (IIC) or microfiche (MF).

For each order, indicate the ED numbers of the desired publications, the type of reproduction desired (paper or microfiche), and the number of copies being ordered.

Payment must accompany orders under \$10.00. Postage, at book rate or library rate, is included in the price of the document. If first class mailing is desired or if shipment is outside the continental United States, the difference between book rate or library rate and first class or foreign postage will be billed at cost. All orders must be in writing.

Journal articles cited with EJ numbers are indexed in Current Index, to Journals in Education, a monthly companion index to Research in Education. Reproductions of the journal articles are not available from EDRS.

Address requests to ERIC Document Reproduction Service, P.O. Drawer O, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

Brown, Edward K., and Theimer, William C., Jr. An Evaluation of the Ungraded Program at the Powel Elementary School. Pennsylvania: Office of Research and Evaluation, Philadelphia School District, 1968. 22 pages. ED 029 368 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29.

Catholic Diocese of Pittsburgh, Guidelines for a Continuous Progress Program, Pennsylvania: 1970, 110 pages, ED 054-521 MF \$0.65 HC \$6.58.

Report, Pennsylvania: 1971, 39 pages. ED 054 522 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29.

Dillingham City School District. The Nongraded-Trimester-Minicourse Concept: Report to Date. Alaska: 1971, 51 pages, ED 050-863 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29.

Frederickson, Ronald II., and others. The Amherst Ungraded Secondary Schools: An Evaluation Report. (Volume I) and Appendices (Volume II). Amherst, Massachusetts: Amherst-Pelham Regional School District, 1968, 877 pages. ED 032-621 MF \$0.65-11C \$29.61.

Graves, William H., Jr. Elementary Principals Guide to Nongraded Schools. College Station: Division of Elementary Education, Texas A and M University. 1967. 36 pages. ED 025-039-MF \$0.65-HC \$3.29.

Henson, E. Curtis. *The Four Quarter School Year*. Georgia: Atlanta Public Schools, 1972, 13 pages. ED 063 620 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29.

Institute for Development of Educational Activities. Models for Nongrading Schools: A Report of a National Seminar. Dayton, Ohio: 1970. 28 pages. ED 049-827 Document not available from EDRS. (Available from I/D/E/A, P.O. Box 628, Far Hills Branch, Dayton, Ohio 45419. \$1.50, payment must accompany order.)

Kuzsman, Francis, and MacIsaac, Teresa, editors. A Teacher's Guide to Nongrading. Antigonish, Nova Scotia: Saint Francis Xavier University, 1969. 138 pages. ED 053 106 MF \$0.65 HC \$6.58. (Also available from Francis Kuzsman, Saint Francis Xavier University, Box 98, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada. \$2.95.)

Implementing Nongradedness: Administrative Plans and Curriculum Designs. 1970. 295 pages. ED 053 107 MF \$0.65 HC \$9,87. (Also available from Francis Kuzsman, Saint Francis Xavier University, Box 98, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada. \$3.65.)

McCarthy, Robert J. "Why an Ungraded Middle School." Chapter 1 in How to Organize and Operate an Ungraded Middle School. Successful School Administration Series. 1967. 18 pages. FD 022 240 - MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29. (Complete

ERIC PULIFICATION OF THE PROVIDED BY ERIC

To gather the documents in this review, Research in Education and Current Index to Journals in Education monthly catalogs were searched from January 1968 through March 1973, using as search terms these descriptors: Nongraded Classes, Nongraded Primary System, Nongraded System, Ungraded Classes, Ungraded Curriculum, Ungraded Elementary Programs, Ungraded Programs, and Ungraded Schools.

document, 68 pages, available from Prentice-Hall, Inc., Route 9W, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632, \$2,25.)

McLoughlin, William P. Evaluation of the Non-graded Primary. Jamaica, New York: Saint John's University, 1969. 403 pages. ED 031 426 MF \$0.65 HC \$16.45. (Also available from William P. McLoughlin, Assistant Dean for Graduate Studies, Saint John's University, Jamaica, New York 11432. \$3.00.)

pages. ED 069 791 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29:

National Association of Elementary School Principals. "The Nongraded School." Washington, D.C.: 1968. Reprint of articles from November 1967 and January 1968 issues of *The National Elementary Principal*. 94 pages. ED 032 242 MF \$0.65 HC not available from EDRS. (Available from National Association of Elementary School Principals, 1801 North Moore Street, Arlington, Virginia 22209. Stock No. 181-05550, Single copy \$2.50, quantity discounts.)

Otto, Henry J., and others. Nongradedness: An Elementary School Evaluation. Bureau of Laboratory Schools Monograph No. 21. Austin: University of Texas, 1969. 133 pages. ED 036 889 MF \$0.65 IIC \$6.58. (Also available from The University of Texas Press, 120 West 20 Street, Austin, Texas 78712. \$3.00.)

Purdom, Daniel M. Exploring the Nongraded School. An IDEA Monograph. Dayton, Ohio: Institute for Development of Educational Activities, 1970, 41 pages. ED 052 536 Document not available from EDRS. (Available from I/D/E/A, Mail Orders, P.O. Box 628, Far Hills Branch, Dayton, Ohio 45419, \$1.50, payment must accompany order.)

Smith, Lee L. A Practical Approach to the Nongraded Elementary School. 1968, 268 pages. ED 031-542 Document not available from EDRS. (Available from Parker Publishing Company, Inc., West Nyack, New York (10994, \$8,95.)

Stevens, Jody L. Differentiated Staffing, Nangraded-Continuous, Progress, Open Concept Schools, A Comprehensive Bibliography, Texas: Bureau of Educational Research and Services, University of Houston, 1972, 65 pages, ED 066 811 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29, (Also available from Bureau of Educational Research and Services, College of Education, University of Houston, Cullen Boulevard, Houston, Texas 77004, \$2.00.)

Tewksbury, John L. "The Meaning of Nongrading" and "The Nongraded Movement in Perspective." Chapters 1 and 2 in Nongrading in the Elementary School. Merrill's International Education Series. 1967. 33 pages. ED 026 735 MF \$0.65 1IC \$3.29. (Complete document, 138 pages, available from Charles E. Merrill Books, Inc., 1300 Alum Creek Drive, Columbus, Ohio 43126. Cloth \$3.95, paper \$1.95.)

Vogel, Francis X., and Bowers, Norman D. "The Relationship of Form of School Organization to Pupil Behavior." Paper presented at American Educational Research Association annual meeting, Los Angeles, February 1969, 16 pages, ED 028 505 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

Engel, Barney M., and Cooper, Martin. "Academic Achievement and Nongradedness." Journal of Experimental Education, 40, 2 (Winter 1971), pp. 24-26. EJ 051 109.

Goodlad, John 1. "The Nongraded School." National Elementary Principal, 50, 1 (September 1970), pp. 24-29. FJ 030 147.

Gumpper, David C., and others. Nongraded Elementary Education: Individualized Learning Teacher Leadership Student Responsibility. University Park: Institute for Research on Human Resources, Pennsylvania State University, 1971. 276 pages. ED 057 440 MF \$0.65 HC not available from EDRS. (Available from Institute for Research on Human Resources, 407 Graduate Building, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, \$3.00.)

Kingston, Albert J. "Do the Advantages of Ungraded Schools Outweigh the Disadvantages?" International Reading Association Conference Proceedings, Part 2, Volume 13 (April 1968), pp. 308-316. EJ 022 074.

Leiberth, Mary Lou. "The Case for Nongraded Schools." *Catholic School Journal*, 70, 3 (March 1970), pp. 38-41. EJ 020 369.

McLoughlin, William P. The Nongraded School—An Annotated Bibliography. Albany: New York State Education Department, 1967, 36 pages. ED 017 061 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29.

"The Spawning and Spurning of the Non-graded School." *Kappa Delta Pi Record*, 8, 2 (December 1971), pp. 33-35. FJ 049 095.

Measel, Wes, and Fincher, Glen. "Team Teaching in Canton's Model School." Educational Leader ship, 29, 6 (March 1972), pp. 520-522, EJ 055-346,

Miller, Richard I., and others. The Nongraded School, Analysis and Study. Exploration Series in Education. 1967, 289 pages. ED 015-530. Document not available from EDRS.

Rogers, Luther H., and Breivogel, William F. "The Nongraded School." Gainesville: College of Education, University of Florida. Florida Educational Research and Development Council Research Bulletin. 4, 3 (Fall 1968), 50 pages. ED 033-083 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29. (Also available from Florida Educational Research and Development Council, College of Education, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32601, \$1.00.)

Splawn, Robert E., and Stoker, W. M. Administering the Nongraded School. 1967, 19 pages, ED 016 283 MF \$0.65 HC \$3.29.

Steehre, Bob J. "Nongradedness: Relevant Research for Decision Making," Educational Leadership, 29, 8 (May 1972), pp. 709-711, EJ 057-416.

Clearinghouse Accession Number: EA 004 966

The Educational Resolute's Information Center of RRC is a national information of story operated by the National Institute of Education, URIC serves, due items by disseminating research to enlist and other resonants, maintain that can be used in decompositioner office two educational poor units.

The TRIC Confinghouse on Educational Management, and of several sech waits in the system, was established at the University of Oregon in 1966. The Generalbourn and its companion, buts processes with reports and journal articles for announcement in FRIC's index and abstract buildings.

Research reports are announced in Research of Films, in RIFs, available in maint libraries and to subscription for \$38 a year from the United States Geogramment Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Journal articles are aunounced in Current Index to Journals by Education, CIJE is also available in many libraries and can be ordered for \$41 a year from CCM Information Corporation, 866, fined Avenue, Room, 1126, New York, New York 10022.

Besides processing documents and journal articles, the Clearinghouse prepares bibliographies, literature reviews, monographs, and other interpretive research studies on topics in its educational area,

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management operates under contract with the National Institute of Liducation of the United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. This review was prepared a pursuant to that contract. Contractors undertaking such projects under government spousorship are encouraged to express freely their judgment in professional and technical matters. Points of view or opinions do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Institute of Education position or policy.